

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF
THREE HUNDRED FORTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO
CLAIMS SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF
THEIR NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS
OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO
DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR
CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT LEHMAN BROTHERS
HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL, JORDAN BRYK, AT 212-310-8034.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al. : 08-13555 (JMP)
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON THREE HUNDRED FORTY-FOURTH
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (DUPLICATIVE CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 14, 2012, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI" and the "Plan Administrator"), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases filed the three hundred forty-fourth omnibus

objection to claims (the “Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims”), and that a hearing (the “Hearing”) to consider the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **September 27, 2012 at 10:00 a.m.** (**Eastern Time**), or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-242 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-242, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for LBHI, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Robert J. Lemons, Esq., and Mark Bernstein, Esq.); and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta Gasparini, Esq. and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **September 13, 2012 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the “Response Deadline”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Plan Administrator may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: August 14, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al. : 08-13555 (JMP)
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

**THREE HUNDRED FORTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (DUPLICATIVE CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE
CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING
THIS THREE HUNDRED FORTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE
LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE
EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER
THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL,
JORDAN BRYK, AT 212-310-8034.**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI" and the "Plan Administrator"),
as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of
Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the "Plan") for the entities in
the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Chapter 11 Estates"),
respectfully represents:

Relief Requested

1. The Plan Administrator files this three hundred forty-fourth
omnibus objection to claims (the "Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to
Claims"), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the
"Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the
"Bankruptcy Rules"), and this Court's order approving procedures for the filing of
omnibus objections to proofs of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases (the "Procedures
Order") [Docket No. 6664], seeking disallowance and expungement of the claims listed
on Exhibit A annexed hereto.

2. The Plan Administrator has examined the proofs of claim
identified on Exhibit A and has determined that the proofs of claim listed under the
heading "*Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged*" (collectively, the "Duplicative
Claims") are duplicative, either entirely or in substance, of the corresponding claims
identified under the heading "*Surviving Claims*" (collectively, the "Surviving Claims").
The Plan Administrator seeks the disallowance and expungement from the Court's claims

register of the Duplicative Claims and preservation of the Plan Administrator's right to later object to any Surviving Claim on any basis.

3. This Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims does not affect any of the Surviving Claims and does not constitute any admission or finding with respect to any of the Surviving Claims. Further, the Plan Administrator reserves all its rights to object on any basis to any Duplicative Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008, and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

6. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the filing of omnibus objections to no more than 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

7. On December 6, 2011, the Court approved and entered an order confirming the Plan. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012.

8. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.

The Duplicative Claims Should Be Disallowed and Expunged

9. In reviewing the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, the Plan Administrator has identified the claims on Exhibit A as Duplicative Claims that are either exact duplicates or are in substance duplicates of the corresponding Surviving Claims. Specifically, the Duplicative Claims were filed by the same claimants against the same Chapter 11 Estates, in most instances for the same dollar amounts, and on account of the same obligations as the corresponding Surviving Claims.

10. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim’s essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

11. Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, that a claim shall not be allowed to the extent that “such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Accordingly, courts in the Southern District of New York routinely disallow and expunge duplicative claims filed by the same creditor against the same debtors. *See, e.g., In re Worldcom, Inc.*, Case No. 02-13533 (AJG), 2005 WL

3875191, at *8 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. June 3, 2005) (expunging duplicate claim); *In re Best Payphones, Inc.*, Case No. 01-15472, 2002 WL 31767796, at *4, 11 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 11, 2002) (expunging duplicate claim); *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 148 B.R. 993, 1001-02 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) (dismissing duplicate claim).

12. The Chapter 11 Estates cannot be required to pay on the same claim more than once. *See, e.g., In re Finley, Kumble, Wagner, Heine, Underberg, Manley, Myerson, & Casey*, 160 B.R. 882, 894 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1993) (“In bankruptcy, multiple recoveries for an identical injury are generally disallowed.”). Elimination of redundant claims will enable the Plan Administrator to maintain a claims register that more accurately reflects the proper claims existing against the Chapter 11 Estates.

13. The effective date for the Plan has occurred and the initial distributions commenced on April 17, 2012. It would be inequitable and inappropriate for holders of claims subject to this objection to receive distributions on account of Duplicative Claims. Accordingly, to avoid the possibility of a creditor receiving duplicative or multiple recoveries on its claim, the Plan Administrator requests that the Court disallow and expunge in their entirety the Duplicative Claims listed on Exhibit A.¹ The Surviving Claims will remain on the claims register subject to further objections on any basis.

Notice

14. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. Notice of this Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims has been provided to (i)

¹ Where a creditor has filed different documentation in support of the Duplicative Claim and the Surviving Claim, the Chapter 11 Estates will treat all documentation filed with the claims as having been filed in support of the Surviving Claim.

the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) each claimant listed on Exhibit A; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9635]. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

15. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan Administrator or the Chapter 11 Estates to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: July 9, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

EXHIBIT A

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 344: EXHIBIT A - DUPLICATIVE CLAIMS

CLAIMS TO BE DISALLOWED AND EXPUNGED

SURVIVING CLAIMS

		NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS		NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS
1	BURGER, MARTIN S. 10 CITY PLACE, TRUMP TOWER, 29D WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601		09/30/2011	08-13893 (JMP)	67672	\$183,307.80	BURGER, MARTIN S. 10 CITY PLACE, TRUMP TOWER, 29D WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601		01/14/2009	08-13893 (JMP)	1703	\$183,307.80
2	BURGER, MARTIN S. 10 CITY PLACE, TRUMP TOWER, 29D WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601		09/30/2011	08-13893 (JMP)	67673	\$50,000.00	BURGER, MARTIN S. 10 CITY PLACE, TRUMP TOWER, 29D WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601		01/14/2009	08-13893 (JMP)	1702	\$50,000.00
3	HAMM, WILLIAM A. & RUTH 3619 THORNDIKE DR FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28311- 0308		08/03/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	7200	\$12,000.00	WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE ATTN: JULIE J. BECKER 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, SUITE 1290, DROP CODE: 1700/MINNESOTA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402- 1544		09/02/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	10082	\$48,883,940,671.38
4	MCCULLY, MICHAEL K. 340 EAST 23RD STREET APARTMENT 5M NEW YORK, NY 10010-4747		12/15/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	65947	\$148,735.70	MCCULLY, MICHAEL K. 340 EAST 23RD STREET APARTMENT 5M NEW YORK, NY 10010-4747		12/15/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	65946	\$148,735.70
5	RODGERS, CATHERINE M. 477 HARRISON STREET NUTLEY, NJ 07110		09/22/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	32723	Undetermined	RODGERS, CATHERINE M. 477 HARRISON STREET NUTLEY, NJ 07110		09/22/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	32722	\$54,417.61
					TOTAL	\$394,043.50						

* - Indicates claim contains unliquidated and/or undetermined amounts

Page 1 of 1

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.	:	08-13555 (JMP)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	X	

**ORDER GRANTING THREE HUNDRED FORTY-FOURTH
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (DUPLICATIVE CLAIMS)**

Upon the three hundred forty-fourth omnibus objection to claims, dated July 9, 2012 (the “Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims”),² of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors, in accordance with section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 6664], seeking disallowance and expungement of the duplicative claims on the grounds that such claims are duplicative of the corresponding surviving claims, either exactly or in substance, all as more fully described in the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Three

² Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims.

Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of the Chapter 11 Estates, their creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto under the heading “*Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged*” (collectively, the “Duplicative Claims”) are disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that the claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto under the heading “*Surviving Claims*” (collectively, the “Surviving Claims”) will remain on the claims register subject to the Plan Administrator’s right to object as set forth herein; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order supersedes all previous orders regarding the disposition of the Duplicative Claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that all information included on and all documentation filed in support of any Duplicative Claims, including, but not limited to, derivative and guarantee questionnaires and supporting documentation, shall be treated as having been filed in support of the corresponding Surviving Claims; and it is further

ORDERED that nothing in this Order or the disallowance and expungement of the Duplicative Claims constitutes any admission or finding with respect

to any of the Surviving Claims, and the Plan Administrator's rights to object to the Surviving Claims on any basis are preserved; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to, (i) any claim listed on Exhibit A annexed to the Three Hundred Forty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims under the heading "*Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged*" that is not listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto and (ii) any Surviving Claim; *provided, however,* that if the Court subsequently orders that a Surviving Claim is not appropriately duplicative of the corresponding Duplicative Claim, then the claims agent shall be authorized and directed to immediately reinstate such Duplicative Claim in these chapter 11 cases (the "Reinstated Claim"), and the rights of all interested parties with respect to the Reinstated Claim shall be expressly reserved; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2012
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE